UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/505,390	08/20/2004	Alain Durand .	PF020015	7244
Joseph S Tripo	7590 01/11/2008 li		EXAMINER	
Patent Departm	Patent Department		ALMEIDA, DEVIN E	
Thomson Licer PO Box 5312	nsing Inc		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Princeton, NJ 0	8543-5312		2132	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/505,390	DURAND, ALAIN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Devin Almeida	2132
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a re- will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 N 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under 	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matt	
Disposition of Claims		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1.4 and 5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o		
Application Papers		•
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination. The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accompanied and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct should be contacted as a contact of the should be contacted to by the Examination.	cepted or b) objected to edrawing(s) be held in abeyanction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	•
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been received. Its have been received in A Ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment/s)		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to the papers filed 11/01/2007. Claims 1, 4 and 5 were received for consideration.

Response to arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11/01/2007 have been fully considered but are not persuasive. With respect to claim 1 and 5, Ques et al teaches a secret specific to a second domain (i.e. the local private key Kpri loc page 9 lines 28-34). The cable network sends data scrambled with cw these cw are transmitted in the data stream encrypted using key k (the key of the first network i.e. cable network). The access device 1 decrypts the encrypted cw using key k and reencrypts the cw with the key is the second network the Kpub loc of the Kpub loc/Kpri loc pair so only devices on the second network with the Kpri loc can decrypt the data (page 8 lines 7-34). The second secret specific to said second domain (Kpub loc) being different from said first secret specific to said first domain ((see page 7 line 31 - page 8 line 34 i.e. key k).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1, 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Quest et al (WO 00/62505) in view of Ford et al. (U.S. Patent # 5,481,613) rejected under 35

Application/Control Number: 10/505.390

Art Unit: 2132

U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Quest et al (WO 00/62505). With respect to claim 1, a method of processing data, encrypted according to an encryption method specific to a first domain such that they data cannot be decrypted without the aid of a first secret specific to said first domain, said data being received in a presentation device connected to a network belonging to a second domain, wherein the method comprises the steps of:

(a) transmitting at least a portion of said encrypted data to a processing device (see figure 1 element 1 digital decoder and page 6 line 33- page 7 line 17 i.e. the digital decoder is connected either to a satellite antenna or a cable network, so as to receive video programs distributed by a service provider) connected to the network (see page 6 line 33- page 7 line 17 i.e. cable network) (see page 6 line 33- page 7 line 17 i.e. these program are received in a stream F of the for example in the MPEG-2 format. In a manner known per se, they are transmitted in scrambled form the content being scrambled by control words CW. These control words are themselves transmitted in the data stream F, in a form encrypted using key k according to the given enciphering algorithm in such a way as to remain secret during transmission); (b) receiving in said presentation device processed data (see page 8 lines 7-32 i.e. It is this data stream F' which will then flow around the domestic bus B so as to be received either by one of the presentation device 2 or 3 or by the digital video recorder 4 so as to be recorded) from said processing device (see figure 1 element 1 digital decoder sends F' to buss B), at least one element of said processed data being used by said presentation device to decrypt said received data using a second secret specific to said second domain (see page 8 lines 7-27 i.e. the converter 14 uses the key Kpub.loc to encrypt the control words CW and transmits these control words, encrypted using the local public key to multiplexing circuit 15 in control messages denoted

10/505,390

Art Unit: 2132

LECM. These messages LECM have the same function as the message ECM received in the initial data stream F), said second secret being contained in the presentation device (see page 8 line 28-page 9 line 4 i.e. only apparatus containing the private local key Kpri loc of the network) said second secret (see page 9 line 28-34 i.e. the local private key Kpri.loc) specific to said second domain being different from said first secret specific to said first domain (see page 7 line 31 - page 8 line 34 i.e. key K); wherein the data received in the presentation device are encrypted with the aid of a first symmetric key (see page 6 line 32 - page 7 line 8 i.e. they are transmitted in scrambles form the content being scrambled by control words CW), said first symmetric key (see page 6 line 32 – page 7 line 8 i.e. CW) being received with said data in a form encrypted with the aid of the first secret (see page 6 line 32 - page 7 line 8 these control words are them transmitted in the data stream F in a form encrypted using a key K); step (a) comprises transmitting to the processing device the first symmetric key encrypted with the aid of the first secret (see page 6 line 32 - page 7 line 8 these control words are them transmitted in the data stream F in a form encrypted using a key K), and step (b) comprises receiving from the processing device: said first symmetric key (see page 6 line 32 - page 7 line 8 i.e. CW) encrypted with the aid of a second asymmetric key (see page 8 line 7-27 i.e. the converter 14 uses the key Kpub loc to encrypt the control words CW and transmits these control words, encrypted using the local public key to multiplexing circuit 15 in control messages denoted LECM. These messages LECM have the same function as the message ECM received in the initial data stream F); (c) decrypting, with the aid of the second secret, the second encrypted asymmetric key (see Ford column 2 lines 45-67); (d) decrypting, with the aid of the second asymmetric key, the first encrypted symmetric key (see page 9 lines 13-34 i.e. the teminal

10/505,390 Art Unit: 2132

module can decrypt these control words using the local private key Kpri.loc so as to obtain the control words CW in the clear); and (e) decrypting the data received by said presentation device with the aid of the first symmetric key (see page 9 lines 13-34 i.e. These control words CW are then transmitted to the descrambling circuit 24 which uses them to descramble the data packets DE and to output clear data packets DC to the television receiver 20); (e) decrypting the data received by said presentation device with the aid of the first symmetric key (see page 9 lines 13-34 i.e. These control words CW are then transmitted to the descrambling circuit 24 which uses them to descramble the data packets DE and to output clear data packets DC to the television receiver 20); and (f) transmitting a portion of data in the clear containing viewing control information indicative of a right of said presentation device to copy received data (see page 9 lines 13-34 i.e. These control words CW are then transmitted to the descrambling circuit 24 which uses them to descramble the data packets DE and to output clear data packets DC to the television receiver 20).

Ques does not teach the use of a second symmetric key and the second symmetric key encrypted with the aid of the second secret specific to the second domain. Ford teaches the use of a second symmetric key (see column 2 line 45-67 i.e. random symmetric key) and the second symmetric key encrypted with the aid of the second secret specific to the second domain (see column 2 line 45-67 i.e. Party A then generates a random symmetric key, and sends it to Party B, encrypted under Party B's public key). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains to have used the hybrid approach so that only Party B can learn the symmetric key value, as only Party B knows the private key needed to decipher the message (the encrypted symmetric key value).

10/505,390

Art Unit: 2132

Hence the two parties establish shared knowledge of the symmetric key, and can proceed to use it for protecting data communicated between them. Therefore one would be motivated to have replaced the asymmetric key of Ques with the hybrid approach discussed by Ford because of lower processing overheads and its particularly attractive for the bulk encryption/decryption of large volumes of data (see Ford column 2 lines 45-67).

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C.103(a) as being unpatentable over Ques et al. (WO 00/62505) in view of Ford et al. (U.S. Patent # 5,481,613) in further view of Rosen (U.S. Patent # 5,642,419). The above combination teaches everything with respect to claim 3 above, but with respect to claim 4, they do not teach generating a random number, the random number being transmitted to the processing device in step (a) with the encryption of the first symmetric key; and the data received in step (b) contains the random number and the first symmetric key encrypted with the aid of the second symmetric key, Step (d) also comprising the decryption, with the aid of the second symmetric, of the encrypted random number received in step (b); and the method also comprising, before step (e) verification step to verify that the random number decrypted in step (d) is identical to the random number generated before step (a); Step (e) being performed only in the event of positive verification. Rosen teaches generating a random number, the random number being transmitted to the processing device in step (a) with the encryption of the first symmetric key, and the data received in step (b) contains the random number and the first symmetric key encrypted with the aid of the second symmetric key; Step (d) also comprising the decryption, with the aid of the second symmetric, of the encrypted random number received in step (b); and the method also comprising, before step (e) verification step to

Art Unit: 2132

verify that the random number decrypted in step (d) is identical to the random number generated before step (a) (see Rosen column 35 lines 10-40). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains to have included a random number as to increase the security of the transactions. The random number ensures that old communications cannot be used in replay attacks therefore making a replay attack virtually impossible. Therefore one would have been motivated to include a random number to increase the security of the transactions by giving more confidence in the communication between domains (see Rosen column 35 lines 26-40).

With respect to claim 5, wherein a domain identifier is contained in the data received by the presentation device and in that said domain identifier is transmitted to the processing device during step (a) (see page 7 lines 9-17 i.e. the provider supplies the authorized users with the key K serving to decrypt the control words CW).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Devin Almeida whose telephone number is 571-270-1018. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays from 7:30 A.M. to 4:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron, can be reached on 571-272-3799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/505,390

Art Unit: 2132

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Devin Almeida Patent Examiner 10/12/2007

mary Game